

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

09/728,171 12/01/2000 Brent R. Constantz CORA-0070	3702	
24353 7590 11/04/2004		
	EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP	KIM, JENNIFER M	
1900 UNIVERSITY AVE SUITE 200 ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303		

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/728,171	CONSTANTZ, BRENT R.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer Kim	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	4 August 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-12,14-19 and 21-30</u> is/are po 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-12,14-19 and 21-30</u> is/are re 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	drawn from consideration.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyane tection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed August 4, 2004 have been received and entered into the application.

Action Summary

The objection of specification as introducing new matter is hereby expressly withdrawn in view of Applicant's response.

The rejection of record of claims 1-5, 7-12 and 14-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hausheer et al. (U.S.5,902,610) of record is being maintained for the reasons stated in the previous office action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated new rejection presented in this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hausheer et al. (U.S.5,902,610) of record evidenced by <u>The Merck Index (Tenth Edition)</u>.

Hausheer et al. teach a pharmaceutical formulation comprising a solution of an inorganic acid solution (i.e. hydrochloric acid) and a salt (i.e. NaCl), suitable for parenteral administration (i.e. intraperitoneal, subcutaneous, intra-arterial, intravenous) by mean administration by hypodermic needle or **catheter.** Hausheer et al. teach the

Art Unit: 1617

final pH of the solution was adjusted by adding 1N hydrochloric acid. (column 24, lines 5-7,column 33, Example 18 and 19). Hausheer et al. teach the formulation can be combined with cisplatin (cytoxic agent). (abstract).

Applicant's recitation in claims 21-30 of an intended use of catheter for dynamically introducing the solution and aspirating the solution does not represent a patentable limitation since such fails to impart any physical limitation to the composition in the prior and there is no reason why the same "catheter" utilized by the prior is not capable of performing the intended use since the "kit" claimed by the Applicant comprises same solution (1N hydrochloric acid) having a same subphysiologic pH and a fluid delivery device, i.e. catheter. The "kit" to be employed would be inherent upon administration of the composition (1N hydrochloric acid and a salt) with the catheter as taught by Hausheer et al.

Merck Index teaches 1N hydrochloric acid has pH value of 0.10.

Merck Index is brought in to show 1N hydrochloric acid utilized by Hausheer et al has the same pH claimed by Applicants.

For these reasons the claimed subject matter is deemed to fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited reference. The claims are therefore properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on August 4, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the claimed kits are limited such that the

Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

fluid delivery device must be one that is able to introduce a fluid to and simultaneously remove it from a vascular site. This is not persuasive because Hausheer et al. teaches same fluid delivery device "catheter" as claimed by the Applicants and "simultaneously remove the fluid from a vascular site" does not represent a patentable limitation since such fails to impart any physical limitation to the composition. Given the broadest interpretation of claims, Hausheer et al. clearly teaches same fluid delivery device (catheter) claimed by the Applicants therefore Hausheer et al. is too capable of performing the intended use of "simultaneously removing the fluid from a vascular site" without any physical limitation to distinguish the same "catheter" being utilized. Applicant next argues new claims 21 to 30 all require the solution to have a pH of 0 to 1 and Hausheer solution has a pH of 2 to 6 and new claims 21 to 30 are not anticipated by Hausheer. This is not persuasive because Hausheer 's formulation comprises a solution (hydrochloric acid 1N) having pH of 0.1 which is encompasses by claimed range 0 to 1. Therefore, claims 21–30 are clearly anticipated by Hausheer et al. Thus, the claims fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references.

In view of the above Office Action of 20 April 2004 is deemed proper and asserted with full force and repeated herein applicants' convenience.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 1617

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-5, 7-12 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hausheer et al. (U.S.5,902,610) of record.

Hausheer et al. teach a pharmaceutical formulation comprising a solution of an inorganic acid solution (i.e. hydrochloric acid) and a salt (i.e. NaCl), with pH range of 2 to 6, suitable for parenteral administration (i.e. intraperitoneal, subcutaneous, intraarterial, intravenous) by mean administration by hypodermic needle or **catheter**.

Applicant's recitation in claims 1, 8 and 15 of an intended use of catheter for dynamically introducing the solution and aspirating the solution does not represent a patentable limitation since such fails to impart any physical limitation to the composition in the prior and there is no reason why the same "catheter" utilized by the prior is not capable of performing the intended use since the "kit" claimed by the Applicant

Art Unit: 1617

comprises same solution having a same subphysiologic pH and a fluid delivery device, i.e. catheter. The "kit" to be employed would be inherent upon administration of the composition (hydrochloric acid and a salt) with the catheter as taught by Hausheer et al.

For these reasons the claimed subject matter is deemed to fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited reference. The claims are therefore properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

None of the claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-0628. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 6:30 am to 3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sreenivasan Padmanabhan Supervisory Examiner Art Unit 1617

Jmk October 25, 2004